



US008582604B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Mashayekhi et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,582,604 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Nov. 12, 2013**

(54) **UNIQUELY DECODABLE CODES AND
DECODER FOR OVERLOADED
SYNCHRONOUS CDMA SYSTEMS**

(76) Inventors: **Omid Mashayekhi**, Stanford, CA (US);
Farokh Marvasti, London (GB)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 294 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/082,084**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 7, 2011**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2012/0257640 A1 Oct. 11, 2012

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04J 13/00 (2011.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
USPC **370/479**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0265224	A1 *	12/2005	Lee et al.	370/209
2006/0120471	A1	6/2006	Learned et al.	
2006/0171294	A1 *	8/2006	Son et al.	370/208

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Alishahi, K. et al.; "The Enigma of CDMA Revisited"; May 5, 2010; 80 pp.
 Chang, Shih-Chun, et al.; "Coding for T-User Multiple-Access Channels"; IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. IT-25; No. 6; Nov. 1979; pp. 684-691.
 Karystinos, George N., et al.; "New Bounds on the Total Squared Correlation and Optimum Design of DS-CDMA Binary Signature Sets"; IEEE Transactions on Communications; vol. 51; No. 1; Jan. 2003; pp. 48-51.
 Learned, Rachel E., et al.; "Low Complexity Optimal Joint Detection for Oversaturated Multiple Access Communications"; IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing; vol. 45; No. 1; Jan. 1997; pp. 113-123.
 Pad, Pedram, et al.; "A Class of Errorless Codes for Overloaded Synchronous Wireless and Optical CDMA Systems"; IEEE Transactions on Information Theory; vol. 55; No. 6; Jun. 2009; pp. 2705-2715.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Chi Pham


Assistant Examiner — Kouroush Mohebbi

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A recursive method for constructing uniquely decodable codes for overloaded synchronous CDMA systems, where large signature codes with growing overloading factors are reconstructed from the small ones. A class of uniquely decodable signature matrices (or encoders) for overloaded synchronous CDMA are also devised. A decoder for synchronous CDMA systems to extract the user data by a number of comparisons with respect to some predefined thresholds.

9 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

And comprising extracting user data from the received vector coded by said LE sequence by a number of comparisons with respect to predefined thresholds.

In some embodiments, the present invention is a decoding method for synchronous Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) comprising said the logical decoder:

for S^1 , assume that $Y=[y_1, y_2]^T = S^1 X$, where X is the vector of user data. We want to find \hat{X} , the decoded data, from Y by following procedure:

passing y_1 to a quaternary Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) decoder with constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$ to generate an output of z , which shows the number of +1s and -1s in \hat{X} ;

If $z=+3$ or $z=-3$, then, stop;

otherwise, from y_2 and z :

if $z=+1$: passing y_2 to a ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$, to determine which user sent said -1;

if $z=-1$: passing y_2 to a ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$ to determine which user sent said +1;

for S^k , $k \geq 2$ passing y_1 to a (2^{k+1}) -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm(2^{k+1}-1)\}$ to generate an output of z , which shows the number of +1s and -1s in \hat{X} ; If z is $+(2^{k+1}-1)$ or $-(2^{k+1}-1)$, then the process is terminated and \hat{X} is composed purely of +1s or -1s, respectively; otherwise, passing y_2 to a $(2^{k+1}-|z|)$ -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{0, \pm 2, \pm(2^{k+1}-|z|)\}$ to generate an output; combining said output and information about the total number of -1s and +1s in \hat{X} , it is possible to decipher the $2^{k^{th}}$ entry of \hat{X} . Moreover, one may determine the number of +1s and -1s in the first and last 2^k-1 entries of \hat{X} , denoted by n_l and n_r , respectively.

Applying the decoder of S^{k-1} with inputs of

$$Y_l = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k-1} - 1 - 2n_l \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^{k-1}+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Y_r = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k+1} - 1 - 2n_r \\ y_{2^{k-1}+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

to identify the first and last (2^k-1) entries of \hat{X} .

In decoding method may be performed by a plurality of comparator elements but with no multiplier elements or adder elements. In fact, the one dimensional PAM decoder can extract user data by a number of comparisons with respect to some thresholds depending on the constellation.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an exemplary block diagram for a logical decoder for S^1 , according to some embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is an exemplary block diagram for a logical decoder for S^k , $k \geq 2$, according to some embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 3 shows a graph depicting a comparison of BER of a logical decoder versus BER of an ML decoder for the first three logical encoders (LEs) for, S^1 , S^2 , S^3 and different values of E_b/N_0 , according to some embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 4 shows a graph depicting a comparison of BER of the invention using LE with logical decoder and the BER of a system using GCO with an ML decoder.

FIG. 5 shows a graph depicting a comparison of BER of the LEs with logical decoder and WBEs of the same overloading factor (β) with an ML decoder.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In some embodiments, the present invention is an encoding-decoding scheme for overloaded synchronous CDMA

systems. The decoder requires comparators but with no multipliers nor adders. The performance (Bit-Error-Rate) of the decoder is almost as good as that of an optimum Maximum Likelihood (ML) decoder, despite the fact that the complexity of the invented decoder is much less than the optimum ML decoder.

In some embodiments, the present invention is a recursive method, performed by an electronic circuit or device, for constructing uniquely decodable codes for overloaded synchronous CDMA systems, where large signature codes with growing overloading factors can be reconstructed from the small ones. A class of uniquely decodable signature matrices (or encoders) for overloaded synchronous CDMA are devised. Uniquely decodable signature matrices means that these signature matrices act as a one to one projection from specific input symbols to the output space. Moreover, overloaded means that the number of columns of the signature matrices (each column is attributed to one user) is greater than the number of rows (or equivalently the number of chips. In the recursive method, by starting from one of these matrices, a series of this class of uniquely decodable signature matrices can be found. This sequence has an increasing overloading factor (the ratio of the number of columns to the number of rows of the matrices).

In some embodiments, the present invention is a decoder for synchronous CDMA systems. The decoder extracts the user data by a number of comparisons with respect to some predefined thresholds. In fact, the elements of a received vector are compared step by step to some thresholds and user data are extracted by some logical rules. Through these steps, the number of various symbols in different subgroups of users is found. These subgroups become smaller and smaller, and eventually each user data is found by recursively repeating the steps.

The corresponding decoders for a sequence of matrices found by the above recursive encoding method also have a recursive form. Moreover, the proposed encoding and decoding methods are applicable to systems in which the signature matrices are p-ary and the input symbols are q-ary.

In some embodiments, the present invention is a class of encoders generated by Kronecker multiplication with a simple decoder.

For the uniquely decodable encoders, projection defined by a corresponding signature matrix is one to one over a set of input symbols. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j\}$ be a set of j algebraically independent numbers and $\bar{\lambda}$ be a linear combination of the λ_j s. In the following, it is assumed that the set of M input symbols $\Psi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_M\}$, is a subset of $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}\}$. That is, in an algebraically independent set, the linear combinations of the numbers with integer coefficient cannot become zero.

Now, let us define a Logical Encoder (LE) as:

Definition 1: Signature Matrix $S_{m \times n}$ is said to be LE, if the following constraints hold.

1. S is one to one over Ψ .

2. Let $Y_{m \times 1} = S_{m \times n} X_{n \times 1}$, $\hat{Y}_{(m-1) \times 1} = \hat{S}_{(m-1) \times n} X_{n \times 1}$ where \hat{S} is derived by eliminating one of the rows of S . Then, by knowing the number of different symbols in data vector X , it is possible to decipher the user data from \hat{Y} uniquely.

Starting from an $(m_1 \times n_1)$ LE, S^1 , the following recursive relation defines a sequence of encoders. The k^{th} generation encoder S^k , is a $m_k \times n_k$ LE formed as follows:

5

$$S^k = \begin{bmatrix} +\alpha_k & \dots & +\alpha_k & +\alpha_k & +\alpha_k & \dots & +\alpha_k \\ +\beta_k & \dots & +\beta_k & 0 & -\beta_k & \dots & -\beta_k \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & \hat{S}^{k-1} & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \vdots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & & \hat{S}^{k-1} & \\ & & & 0 & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $m_k=2^{k-1}m_1$, $n_k=2^{k-1}(n_1+1)-1$, \hat{S}^{k-1} is derived by eliminating the first row of S^{k-1} and α_k and β_k are two arbitrary numbers. It can be seen that the overloading factor increases for the sequence of matrices and approaches $(n_1+1)/m_1$ in infinity.

As an example

$$S_{2 \times 3}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

is an LE. Starting from this encoder, recursive construction with $\alpha_i=\beta_i=1$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ in (1) results in a sequence of LEs, first three of which can be found in Table 1. The k^{th} generation S^k is a $2^k \times (2^{k+1}-1)$ encoder and the overloading factor approaches 2 as k tends to approach infinity.

TABLE 1

The first three matrices in an LE sequence.

S^1	$\begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
S^2	$\begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
S^3	$\begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

As can be expected from (1), the decoder has also a recursive form. We shed light on this procedure by considering a system that uses $\{\pm 1\}$ as the input symbols and the class of LEs based on (2) as the encoder with the first three of these matrices shown in Table 1.

Firstly, the decoder of S^1 will be discussed. In the case that $Y=[y_1, y_2]^T=S^1 X$, where X is the vector of user data, and \hat{X} be the decoded data, the decoding scheme has the following steps:

Step 1: Pass y_1 to a quaternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$. The output of this decoder z shows the number of +1s and -1s in \hat{X} . If $z=\pm 3$ or $z=-3$ then \hat{X} consists purely of +1s or -1s, respectively, and the process is terminated. Otherwise the process goes to the next step.

6

Step 2: Upon y_2 and z the coding continues as follows:

If $z=+1$: then \hat{X} contains exactly one -1. By passing y_2 to a ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$, it can be determined which user sent this -1.

$z=-1$: then \hat{X} contains exactly one +1. By passing y_2 to a ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$, it can be determined which user sent this +1. FIG. 1 shows this procedure. The block shown in FIG. 1 can be implemented in electronic circuits, programmable gate arrays, programmable signal processors, software, or the combination thereof, and the like.

Now, for S^k , $k \geq 2$ the decoding procedure is recursive. FIG. 2 is an exemplary block diagram for a logical decoder for S^k , $k \geq 2$, according to some embodiments of the present invention. As depicted in FIG. 2, there are three main steps:

Step 1: Pass y_1 to a (2^{k+1}) -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm(2^{k+1}-1)\}$. The output of this decoder z shows the number of +1s and -1s in \hat{X} . If z is $+(2^{k+1}-1)$ or $-(2^{k+1}-1)$, then the process is terminated and \hat{X} is composed purely of +1s or -1s, respectively. Otherwise, the process moves to the next step.

Step 2: Pass y_2 to a $(2^{k+1}-|z|)$ -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{0, \pm 2, \pm(2^{k+1}-|z|)\}$. Combining the output information of this decoder and the knowledge about the total number of -1s and +1s in \hat{X} , it is possible to decipher the 2^{k+1} entry of \hat{X} . Moreover, one may determine the number of +1s and -1s in the first and last 2^k-1 entries of \hat{X} , denoted by n_l

and n_r , respectively. These are all possible because of the characteristics of the previously input set $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_j\}$.

Step 3: Apply the decoder of S^{k-1} with inputs of

$$Y_l = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k-1} - 1 - 2n_l \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^k-1+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Y_r = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k+1} - 1 - 2n_r \\ y_{2^k-1+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

to identify the first and last 2^k-1 entries of \hat{X} .

It is straightforward extrapolation to extend this method for an M-ary input system. In addition, for any other sequence of matrices, we should just modify the decoder of S^1 and the

recursive algorithm remain the same. Note, if $P_{r \times r}$ is an invertible matrix and $S_{m \times n}$, then $P \otimes S$ is also an $(m \times n)$ uniquely decodable encode *(where \otimes denotes the Kronecker multiplication). By multiplying the received vector with $P^{-1} \otimes I$ from the left, a logical decoder can be applied to extract the user data.

The block shown in FIG. 2 can be implemented in electronic circuits, programmable gate arrays, programmable signal processors, software, or the combination thereof, and the like.

A Binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) system with different values of E_b/N_0 was simulated. Note that the LEs are those proposed in Table 1. FIG. 3 compares the BER of the proposed logical decoder versus the ML one for the first three LEs. The first two rows of Table 2 show the computational complexity of these decoders. While the ML decoder is rather complex, the logical decoder applies only a few comparisons and needs no multiplication or addition. Nevertheless, the performance of the ML decoder is slightly better than the logical one.

TABLE 2

Computational complexity of the logical and ML decoder of LE versus simplified ML decoder of GCO.					
Encoder	Decoder		Size of the Matrices		
			(2 × 3)	(4 × 7)	(8 × 15)
LE	Logical	Mul. + Add.	None	None	None
		Comparisons	2.75	7.86	21.30
LE	ML	Mul. + Add.	24	896	491520
		Comparisons	7	123	32768
GCO	ML	Mul. + Add.	18	280	17280
		Comparison	1	7	63

Systems using Generalized Codes for Overloaded CDMA (GCOs) of the same size with $\{0, \pm 1\}$ entries have also been simulated. A more detail disclosure of GCOs can be found in Alishahi, K. and Dashmiz, S. and Pad, P. and Marvasti, F. and Shafinia, M H and Mansouri, M.; "The Enigma of CDMA Revisited," *Arxiv preprint arXiv: 1005.0677*, 2010 ([1]), the entire contents of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference.

It is noteworthy that the (2×3) GCO is the same as S^1 . FIG. 4 shows the results. Although GCO has a simplified ML decoder [1], it is yet more sophisticated than the logical decoder (see Table 2). In addition, the BER of the LE with the logical decoder becomes better for moderate values of E_b/N_0 . In addition, FIG. 5, compares the BER of the LEs and WBEs of the same overloading factor (β). Note that the decoder for the WBE is ML. Simulations have been performed for the first three LEs and WBEs with same overloading factor (β). A more detail discussion of WBEs can be found in Karystinos, G. N. and Pados, D. A.; "New bounds on the total squared correlation and optimum design of DS-CDMA binary signa-

ture sets," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 51(1):48-51, 2003, the entire contents of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference.

Although the encoder/decoder methods are disclosed for input vectors containing ± 1 elements, the methods can be generalized, so that the input vector can choose its elements from a broader range of input symbols. This is true as long as the input symbols hold some criteria. Moreover, the Encoder/Decoder can be generalized by using the Kronecker multiplication.

The encoding and decoding method of the present invention may be performed by any electronic device, such as, dedicated electronic circuits, general purpose computers, personal computers, dedicated processors, and the like.

It will be recognized by those skilled in the art that various modifications may be made to the invention illustrated and any other embodiments of the invention described above, without departing from the broad inventive scope thereof. It will be understood therefore that the invention is not limited to the particular embodiments or arrangements disclosed, but is rather intended to cover any changes, adaptations or modifications which are within the scope and spirit of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A data encoding method, executed by one or more processors, for synchronous Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) communication in overloaded systems, comprising:

calculating, by one or more processors, recursive process for $K=1$ to a predetermined integer value to define a k^{th} generation $m_k \times n_k$ signature matrix sequence S^k :

$$S^k = \begin{bmatrix} +\alpha_k & \dots & +\alpha_k & +\alpha_k & +\alpha_k & \dots & +\alpha_k \\ +\beta_k & \dots & +\beta_k & 0 & -\beta_k & \dots & -\beta_k \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \vdots & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & & \hat{S}^{k-1} \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

S^1 is an $(m_1 \times n_1)$ signature matrix, and where $m_k = 2^{k-1} m_1$, $n_k = 2^{k-1} (n_1 + 1) - 1$, \hat{S}^{k-1} is derived by eliminating the first row of S^{k-1} and α_k and β_k are two arbitrary numbers;

using the S^k encoder to encode data transmission over a communication channel; and transmitting the encoded data over said communication channel.

2. The data encoding method of claim 1, further comprising determining a class of encoders by setting $\alpha_k = \beta_k = 1$; and calculating the first three S^1 , S^2 , and S^3 signature matrix sequences:

$$S^1 = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S^2 = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

-continued

$$S^3 \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & +1 & +1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. The data encoding method of claim 1, wherein said data encoding is performed by a plurality of comparator operations, without multiplier operations or adder operations. 15

4. The data encoding method of claim 1, wherein said $m_k \times n_k$ signature matrix sequence is generated by a Kronecker multiplication method.

5. A data decoding method, executed by one or more processors, for synchronous code division multiple access (CDMA) communication comprising: 20

receiving a vector of encoded data Y from a communication channel;

for a signature matrix sequence S^1 , given that $Y=[y_1, y_2]^T$, 25 extracting y_1 and y_2 from the received Y vector;

inputting y_1 to a quaternary Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) decoder with constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$ to generate an output of z, which shows the number of +1 and -1 constelations in \hat{X} , where \hat{X} is decoded data; 30

if $z=+3$, outputting the decoded data \hat{X} as [+1, +1, +1];

if $z=-3$, outputting the decoded data \hat{X} as [-1, -1, -1];

if $z=+1$, inputting y_2 to a ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$ to determine index of any -1 in \hat{X} and outputting the decoded data \hat{X} ; 35

if $z=-1$, inputting y_2 to said ternary PAM decoder with constellation of $\{0, \pm 2\}$ to determine index of any +1 in the decoded data \hat{X} and outputting the decoded data \hat{X} ;

for the signature matrix sequence S^k , $k \geq 2$, inputting y_1 to a (2^{k+1}) -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm(2^{k+1}-1)\}$ to generate said output of z, which shows the number of +1 and -1 in the decoded data \hat{X} , wherein y_1 is a first element of said received vector of encoded data Y; 40

if z is $+(2^{k+1}-1)$, then outputting the decoded data \hat{X} composed of +1; 45

if z is $-(2^{k+1}-1)$, then outputting the decoded data \hat{X} composed of -1;

otherwise, inputting y_2 to a $(2^{k+1}-|z|)$ -ary PAM decoder with a constellation of $\{0, \pm 2, \pm(2^{k+1}-|z|)\}$ to generate a PAM output, wherein y_2 is a second element of said received vector of encoded data Y;

combining said PAM output and said z output, which includes the total number of -1 and +1 in \hat{X} to obtain the $2^{k^{th}}$ element of \hat{X} , and the number of +1 and -1 in the first and last 2^k-1 elements of the decoded data \hat{X} , denoted by n_l and n_r , respectively;

applying the decoder of S^{k-1} with inputs of

$$Y_l = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k+1} - 1 - 2n_l \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^{k-1}+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Y_r = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{k+1} - 1 - 2n_r \\ y_{2^{k-1}+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2^k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

to identify the first and last 2^k-1 elements of the decoded data \hat{X} , wherein y_n is the n^{th} element of said received vector of encoded data Y; and combining the obtained $2^{k^{th}}$ element, said first and last 2^k-1 elements to obtain the entire decoded data \hat{X} .

6. The data decoding method of claim 5, further comprising extracting user data from said received vector by a number of comparisons according to predefined thresholds.

7. The data decoding method of claim 5, wherein said comparisons comprise of comparing each element of said received vector to said predefined thresholds and extracting said user data by a plurality of logical rules.

8. The data decoding method of claim 5, wherein said decoding is performed by a plurality of comparator operations, without multiplier operations or adder operations.

9. The data decoding method of claim 5, wherein said method is utilized in a compressed sensing (CS) system.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 8,582,604 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 13/082084
DATED : November 12, 2013
INVENTOR(S) : Omid Mashayekhi et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Claims

Col. 8, line 50, Claim 1	Delete “encoded date”, Insert --encoded data--
Col. 9, line 25, Claim 5	Delete “ $Y=[y_1, y_2]^T$ ”, Insert -- $Y=[y_1, y_2]^T=S^1$ --
Col. 9, line 30, Claim 5	Delete “constelations”, Insert --constellations--
Col. 9, line 45, Claim 5	Delete “ \tilde{X} composed”, Insert -- \tilde{X} is composed--
Col. 9, line 45, Claim 5	Delete “ \tilde{X} composed”, Insert -- \tilde{X} is composed--

Signed and Sealed this
Twenty-fourth Day of June, 2014



Michelle K. Lee
Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office